

193 1.32.

File No. 52

Serial Nos. 1—

Previous References

6-28-6, 8, 10, 11 & 13

41-25-1-4

17-25-1-2

13-24-1-2

26-27-25

Later References

Leg. 60. 32-1 & 2.

PRESERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Unen

Part B for

July 1932

SUBJECT.

Levy of Com-fee stamp on appl.
Motor driving licenses to D. & D.

11

2

The D. M. Director

No. P 35

on 2.7.32

States that applications to Police Officers for
licenses to drive motor vehicles need not be
stamped

Serial
No.

Letter No. M.B. 92/31-32 dt 23.1.32
From

The Hon. Mr. Justice

Requests instructions regarding
the actual Court fee chargeable on
applications for driving licenses
granted under the Motor Vehicles
Regulations.

1) From Legis file 13-24; 1-2
herein put up & Legis def no.
275728, also put up a stamp fee
of the value of annas 12/- is being
recovered

Done M.B.
30.1.32

Then put up The file empowered
Supp of Min to grant licenses

16.2.32

2) under Schedule II (b) para 2 of
The Court Fees Reg, it is only an application
that is presented to a Magistrate in his
executive capacity that has to be stamped.
So an appln to a Dr. Magte for a license
to drive has to be stamped with 12 as.
There is no provision in The Court Fees Reg
which requires an application or
petition to a Superintendent of Police
to be stamped. It does not appear from
the letter of Mr. Justice Dr. Magte under
what rule or provision the anna 12/- is to be

is levied. It is learnt that in the
C + M. station the D.S.P. does not levy
any stamp fee. The levy of stamp fee
by D.S.P.'s is not legal.

Dev Sec)
Second Member)

le
18/2/32 MK
20/

4) As a fee is being levied on the 22/2
above I have often wondered whether
this is legal -

1) Please ascertain the practice in all
the Districts viz in a case a court fee
is ~~not~~ inserted upon an application to the District Magistrate
under which this is done

by
23/2 MK
23/2
le 24/2

2) Draft note
in JMD
16/2/32

7 J.



~ 21/

No P 5789-96 & 1.3.32

The duty of D.S.P.

Requests to be informed the
principle adopted re levy of Court fee
on applications to Police Officers & the
authorities for such levy.

2



Serial
No.

3

No H. C. 2-1248/31-32 dt 4.3.32
From

The Mr. Lysen dt
States that the practice
of levying a court fee on
applications made to Police Officers
authorised to grant driving
licenses is not in vogue in Mysore
dt.

Letter No H. C. 2-1248/31-32 dt 4.3.32
From

The Mr. Lysen dt
States that he does not think
it necessary to require stamp on
such applications
Sent for perusal
The report of audit of Jan 32 may
be awaited

Done M. K.
12/3

17/3
Done
17/3

No M. B. 92 dt 14.3.32

From

The Mr. Lysen dt
Forwards copy of letter of D. S. P.
Mysore who opines that the system
of requiring the affixing of a stamp fee
of Rs 12/- may be continued

Sent to
Done M. K.
19/3/32

No. 3383-31 of 25.3.32
From

6

The Mr. Kadam
States that a court fee
of annas twelve is being
insisted upon on applications
referred for the authority for
such levy to his office letter
of 12.6.1925 (Flag B)

Submitted for perusal
in J.M.K.
13/32

25/3/32

No. 384/31-32 of 30.3.32
From

The Mr. Cornman Pungat
States that no court fee
is levied on applications for
motor driving licenses

Submitted for perusal

Don J.M.K.
5.4.32

6/4/32

No. 180-31 of 4.4.32

From

8

The Mr. Hassan at
States that the practice of
insisting stamp fee on applications for
motor licenses is not in vogue in the

Submitted for perusal

6/4/32

Serial
No.

9

No T₂ L. 627/31-32 & 14.4.32

From

The Hon Chitaldrug St-

Reports that a fee of one
anna in Court fee stamp is levied
in some parts and 12 annas in others


Subd for renewal

Reply from Hon Bangalore St is to be done
once more. A reminder may be sent
27/4

subd into a reminder 6-27/4/32

27/4

27/4

No L-T₂-4528/31-32 & 20.5.32

From

10

The Hon Bangalore St-

State that it is the practice
to insist on Court fee on applications
made to Police Officers authorized
to grant driving licences.

8) A consolidated statement of replies
received is herewith put up and should
with refer to para 5 supra

once more
27/5

9) The question is whether a
Court fee stamp has to be affixed to applications
presented to Superintendents of Police for grant
of driving licences or for renewal thereof.

Information was called for from the
12. Magistrates in regard to the practice
in their districts. The DT Magistrates of
Mysore, Kolar, Shimoga & Hassan
report that no stamp is being levied
for such applications in their DTs & they
do not consider a stamp is required for them.

In Bangalore, Tumkur & Kadur,
a Court fee stamp of 12 annas is being
insisted upon. In Chitaldurg a stamp
of 1 anna is levied except in Davanagere
Division where a stamp of 12 as is levied.

10) As observed in para 3 above,
it is only an application presented to a
Magistrate in his executive capacity that
a stamp of 12 as has to be affixed under
Sch II (b) para 2 of the Court Fee Reg.
If the application is to the DT Magistrate for grant
or renewal of the license, it is correct to levy
the stamp of 12 as. But there is no provision
in the Court Fee Reg which requires a Court fee
for appeals presented to Dept of Police, &
it is not right to insist on stamps for
such appeals.

11) In the districts where the stamp is
being levied it is stated to be based on the
authority of the letter of govt to the DT Magistrate
dated 12.12.15. There is a misapprehension

Serial
No.

to apply to St. Magtes. At the time of the issue of that letter St. Magtes were the only licensing authorities & the letter directs that applications for renewal should be treated on the same basis as those for the first grant of licenses. Supts of Police were empowered to grant licenses only subsequently by notification in August & October 1925. The direction in the letter of 12.1.1925 cannot be taken as applying to applications to Supts of Police.

(2) The power has not been delegated by the St. Magtes to D.S.P's. The Govt has empowered the D.S.P's under Rule 16 so that applications may be presented directly to D.S.P's.

(3) Rule 158 in the Petition Rules printed at page 48 of the Manual of General Circulars & Standing orders is merely a copy of Schedule II (1) of the Counties Reg.

[This rule the section under the old Counties Reg. has been copied & not the amended section of Act VIII of 1922. This may be got corrected so that officers may not be misled].

(4) The form of the stamp of 12 areas in some districts is irregular
(Chief Secretary)

6/4/32

Second Member)

7/6/32

(5) ~~Please~~

since that applies to motor tax
& 70-GBPM-60,000-13-8-31
license & renewal made to the Govt
Police cannot under the Counties Reg. be
the same. The question

6/7/32

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

8 JUNE 1932

No. 10239

then remains one that applies made for the same purpose (year) & the St. Mags should not all be exempted - when a Reg B2 is issued, if another can't fee?

ku

10/1/32

9111

It is noted that applications presented to Magistrate in his executive capacity require ~~both~~ a stamp of 12 annas to be affixed according to the Court Fees Regⁿ. The license fee is under the Motor Vehicles Regⁿ and as such different from the former. Exemption does not appear to be possible under the existing law.

Subd with refer to para 15 supra

Done
13/6/32

under The Court fees Regⁿ all applications presented to St. Mags in their executive capacity have to be stamped. It would not be possible to exempt applications to St. Mags for motor driving licenses without amending the Regulation. This seems to be unnecessary at present, as in practice

Serial
No.

This is perhaps
unnecessary
nu

CHIEF SECRETARY

13 JUNE 1932

all motor driving licenses are granted
only by D.S.O's or A.S.O's.
Further if it is so desired,
instructions may be issued to the effect
that no formal appln to St. Mary's
necessary for obtaining a ^{motor} license & that a
memo with the ~~charter~~ of the
Treasury receipt for the fee of Rs 2/- ^{office}
may be presented.

Chief Secretary)

13/6/32

nu

16/6/32

Second Meeting


Sec. Thanks - very much
pointing out that ~~all~~ ^{motor} ~~licenses~~
appln to Police for
motor licenses necessary
Stamped

16/6

17-6-32

17/6/32

17/6



draft - subd
Done 25/6 27/6/32

156

27/6/32

NO P 35 & 2.7.32

The one hundred

States and application to Police



to Police Officers for license to
drive motor vehicles need not be
stamped

Memo No 236-43 dt 2-7-82

Forwards copy to I.G.P & Mr

Dated Tumkur, the 23rd January 1932

Enc.

FROM

M. Sundararaja Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,

District Magistrate,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

GENERAL SECRETARY
To
25 JAN 1932
No.

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General
..... Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the District Superintendent of Police, Tumkur, who grants licenses to drive motor vehicles in this District under Rule 16 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles, reports in his letter No. M.C. 1181/31 dated 13th January 1932 that a court fee stamp of the value of 0-12-0 is attached to all applications for driving - licenses in this District unlike some other district where only 0-1-0 stamp is usually affixed to such applications. He also solicits orders as regards the actual court fee chargeable on such applications.

The Court Fee Regulation does not specifically define the actual court fee to be levied on such applications. Section 16 of the Mysore Court fees Regulation does not exempt such applications from stamp duty. I have however issued orders to the District Superintendent of Police to levy a fee of twelve annas as heretofore pending definite orders from Government in the matter. I therefore request instructions as regards the actual court-fee chargeable on such applications.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Iyengar
District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

*Stamp of the value of 12/- as
appears to be correct. F
mhl*

SUBJECT

Section *Lugis*

File No. *52-31*

Serial No. *2*

Diary No. of letter replied to

No.

5789-96

To

The District Magistrate

of 124-24-124

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

Sir,

the

193 .

I am directed to

Date of issue

1/3/32

*request you to be looked
as to report for the information*

Signed by

M. C. B.

*whether it is
of Govt. & the practice in
your at- to insist on the
regardance*

*any of a Court for on
made*

applications to the Police

Officers authorized to

grant driving licenses

under the license laws

vehicles & rules and

if so to state the authority

under which this is being an

I have etc

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

No. L. 92-1248/31-32
Encl.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore...4th March.....1932.

From

Legis 52-31-3

S. Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District, Mysore.



B. T. Kesavaiengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

u
7/26 SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.P.5189-96 Legis 52-31-2 dated 1st March 1932 requesting to intimate whether it is the practice in this district to insist on the levy of a court fee on applications made to the Police officers authorised to grant driving licenses under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Regulation, I have the honour to state that this practice is not in vogue in this district and I do not think a fee has been prescribed for such application.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Malik

For District Magistrate,
Mysore District.
(Absent from Head Quarters).

MNA


In all future correspondence
please quote—

No. L. Dis. On J4-C.661/31-32.
Encls.

420493/4
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated, Kolar, the 8th March 1932.

FROM



RAMANATHAN, Esq., B.A.,

District Magistrate,

Kolar District.

To
B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to Government of Mysore,

Development Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.5189-96/Legis.
52-31-2 dated 29th February/1st March 1932 re: the lev
of a Court fee on applications made to Police Officers -
authorised to grant driving licenses under the Motor -
vehicles rules, I have the honour to state that I do not
think it necessary to require stamp on such applications.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A.C. Niranjan

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,

For District Magistrate,

Kolar District.

(Absent from station).

A.R.8/3/32.

No. H.B. 92/31-32

Enc. ...one...

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the 14th March 1932

FROM

N. Sundararaja Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,

District Magistrate,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development.
.....Department,
Bangalore.

Subject:- Levy of a court fee on application made to the Police officers authorised to grant driving licenses under the Mysore Motor Vehicle Rules.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P. 5189-96/ Legis 52-31-2 dated 1st March 1932 on the subject noted above, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of letter No. M.C 1181 dated 9-3-1932 and its enclosure received from the Superintendent of Police, Tumkur in the matter for kind perusal.

In this connection kind reference is also invited to this office letter No. M.B. 92/31-32 dated 22nd January 1932 requesting instructions as regards the actual court fee chargeable on applications for driving licenses of motor vehicles.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

N. Iyengar
District Magistrate
Tumkur District.


AD. 14/3

6

Letter No.M.C.1181 dated 9-3-32 from the Dist. Sundt. of Police, Tumkur, to the District Magistrate, Tumkur District, Tumkur.

=====
With reference to your letter No.M.B.99 /31-32 dated 4-3-32, requesting to report the authority or the provision of Law under which the practice to insist on the levy of a court fee stamp on applications made to the Police Officers authorised to grant and renew driving licenses etc., I have the honour to submit, herewith, a copy of letter No.M.C.1181/31 dated 24-2-32 to the Inspector General of Police in the matter for kind perusal.

'C o p y'


District Magistrate.


Letter No.M.C.1181/31 dated 24-2-32 from the Dist. Sundt., of Police, Tumkur, to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, Bangalore.

=====
With reference to your Memo No.M.V.295 dated 26-1-32 re. the practice of insisting upon the affixing of a court fee stamp on all applications wither for the grant of fresh or renewal of old, driving licenses, I have the honour to state that I have already reported in my letter No.M.C.1181 31 dated 13-1-32 about the practice prevailing in this District. It cannot be definitely said as to how the practice came into vogue. The only possible explanation that could be given is that these applications are treated as petitions and a court fee stamp of annas twelve levied as required in G.O.No.2542-91-E.A.G.209 dated 12-6-1918, which has since been superceded in the petition rules, published on page 48 of the Manual of General Circulars and Standing Orders Volume I.

It is learnt a similar fee is levied in the Chief Office on all applications for the transfer of ownership of Motor Vehicles.

I am of opinion the system of 12 anna stamp affixing may be continued.

'C o p y'


District Magistrate

Enc. One. *Enc. One**Dated, Chikmagalur, 25th March 1932.**FROM**C.S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, Esquire,**District Magistrate,**Kadur District.*

To

*B.T. KESAVA IYENGAR, Esquire, B.A.,**Secretary to the Government**of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
B A N G A L O R E.*

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.P.5189-96 Legis. 52-31-2 dated the 3rd February 1932 requesting me to report if it is the practice in this District to insist on the levy of a court fee on applications made to the Police Officers authorised to grant driving licenses under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Rules and if so to state the authority or law under which it is being done, I have the honour to state that the District Superintendent of Police, Kadur District, reports that a court fee stamp of annas twelve is being insisted upon on applications of the kind referred to above, in accordance with letter No.P.3515/Legis. dated the 12th January 1925 from the Secretary to Government of Mysore, Local and Legislative Department, to the District Magistrate. Shimoga a copy of which is herein enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bela Venkayya

District Magistrate,

28 Kadur District.

Letter No. P. 3515 Legis. 12-1-1925 from the Secretary to Government, Legal and Legislative Departments to the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

=====

With reference to your letter No.J.C. 248 dated 3rd November 1924 soliciting instructions as to whether applications for renewal of driving licenses should bear a court fee stamp, I am directed to state that they have to bear stamps in the same way as first applications as ^{the} provisions of clause (a) of Section 4 of the Motor Vehicles Regulation are to be applied for renewal of licenses also.

C o p y.



District Magistrate,

25-3-32.

28 Kadur District.

No: J1.C.384/31-32.

456/23/9
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT,

Dated, Shimoga...30th March.....1932.

FROM

Legis 52-31-7
The Deputy Commissioner, & Dist. Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

To

CHIEF SECRETARY
The Secretary to Government,
Development Department,
Bangalore.
SIR, 2 APR. 1932. *6244m*

With reference to your letter No.J. 5189-96-Legis 52-31-2 dated the 1st March 32 enquiring whether the levy of a court fee on applications made to the Police officers authorised to grant driving licenses under the Motor Vehicles regulation is being insisted upon, I have the honor to enclose herewith a copy of letter No.G.O. 1223 of 31-32 dated 19th instant received from the District Superintendent of Police, Shimoga, and to state that no court fee is levied on either fresh applications or renewals of motor driving licenses.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. S. R.

District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

/0

Letter No. G.C.1223/31-32, dated 19th March 32, from the District Superintendent of Police, Shimoga, to the District Magistrate, Shimoga District.

With reference to your No.J1. C. 384/31-32 dated the 3rd March 32 calling for a report in the matter of affixing Mysore Government Court fee stamp for applications for the grant of Motor driving licenses, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of letter No.G.C. 1223/31-32 dated 23-12-32 addressed to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, Bangalore, on a similar reference received from him in the matter.

" C o p y "



For District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

Letter No.G.C. 1223/31-32 dated the 23rd February 1932 from the District Superintendent of Police, Shimoga District, Shimoga to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your Memo No.M.V. 295 dated the 26th January 1932 calling for a report in the matter of affixing Mysore Government Court fee stamp for fresh applications and for renewal of driving licenses in this District, I have the honor to state that no court fee stamp of annas twelve is being insisted upon to be affixed on either fresh applications or for renewals by Motor drivers in this District, as there seems to be no rule or authority to that effect. In this connection, I would propose that a court fee stamp of annas twelve may be enforced to be affixed on all fresh applications and one anna on all renewal applications since license fees levied for both renewal and fresh applications are one and the same viz., Rs 2/-.

" C o p y "

11-5

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

eee

HASSAN DISTRICT, 1/12

Dated, Hassan, the 4th April 1932

Lugs 52-31-8

In reply please refer to
No. *Dis. G. 180-3*
Encl.....

From

G.B. HEBLIKAR, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
~~S. A. B. H. W. A. L. L. E. S. Q. B. A. A.~~
District Magistrate, Hassan District.

To

B.T. KESHAVA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government, Development Department,
-B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Subject:- Levy of a court fee on applications for driving
licenses under Mysore Motor Vehicles Rules.

Adverting to your letter No.P.5189-96/Legis.52-31-2 dated 1-3-32
on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the District
Superintendent of Police, who deals with the applications, intimates
that the practice of levying court fee on the applications received
for the grant of driving licenses is not in vogue in this District.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

G. Mallikarjunaiah
For District Magistrate, 4/4

mb Hassan District.

In reply, please refer
No. **J2.L.627/31-32.**
Enclosures. **3.**

Dated Chitaldrug, **14th April 1932** 192

FROM

M. Seshadri Esquire M.A.,

District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

Legis 52-31-9

TO SECRETARY
18 APR. 1932

N. Madhava Rao Esquire B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
B A N G A L O R E.

Subject:- Motor driving licenses - applications - levy of court fee.

Sir,

With reference to Development Secretary's letter No.P.5189-96 Legis 52-31-2 dated 1st March 1932 on the above subject I have the honor to state that it is reported by the District Superintendent of Police Chitaldrug that in his division it is the practice to insist upon applicants affixing one anna court fee stamp in respect of such applications.

2. The Assistant Superintendent of Police, Davangere reports that before his taking charge of the division such applications were being received without any stamp being affixed to them that he is insisting upon all such applications bearing 12 anna stamp since he took charge of the division.

3. The letter of the District Superintendent of Police, Chitaldrug together with ~~cc~~ its two enclosures is herein enclosed.

4. As regards the authority or law under which this is being done I invite reference to letter No.P.3515 Legis 13-24-2 dated 12th January 1925 from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments to the District Magistrate Shimoga a copy of which is also enclosed for ready reference.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Seshadri
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District

MYSORE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote
number, date and short
title.*

Received.....Registered.....

From (Name) R.P. Mallappa., Esquire., B.A.L.L.B.,
(Designation) District Superintendent of Police,
Post Box....., (STATION) Chitaldrug.....
Telegraphic Address..... Polsup.....

To
The District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

No. *Sr. 620/31* dated *26th March* 1932..

Sir,

ENCLOSURES

SPARE COPIES

* With reference to your memo No. J2.C.627/31 dated the 12th instant forwarding a copy of letter No. J.518/-96 Legis. 52-31-2 dated the 1st instant from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Development Department regarding the practice that is in existance in this District in the matter of levy of a court fee on applications for the grant of driving licenses under the M.V. Rules, I have the honor to state that in this Division it is the practice to insist upon the applicants to affix one anna court fee stamp, in respect of applications for renewals of driving licenses for grant of fresh or duplicate licenses and for grant of conductor's passes. The Assistant Superintendent of Police, Davangere reports in his letter No C. 280/31-32 dated the 5th ultimo in the same connection copy of which is herein enclosed for favour of information, that before his taking charge of the Division, such applications were being received without any stamps being affixed to them, but that he is insisting upon all such applications being presented with 12 annas stamps affixed to them ever since he has been in charge of the Division, as is being done in Bangalore District.

There being no uniformity in this respect, it has not been possible to fix the value of stamp

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
CHITALDRUG.

MAR 31 1932


No. 13996

are to be insisted upon. While explaining in his letter, the Asst. Suplt of Police points out that according to Rule 16(1) of the Motor Vehicles Rules, the District Magistrate was primarily the licensing authority and this is relaxed by notification No.P.Legis.1903- 17-27-5 dated the 13th October 1925, whereby the District Superintendent of Police are empowered to grant driving licenses. Similarly the Assistant Superintendent of Police of certain places are also empowered to grant driving licenses as per notification No.P.5313-Legis. 41-25-5 dated the 16th April 1926.

As per provisions of item No.1 schedule II of Regulation VIII of 1922(Court fees Regulation.) twelve anna stamps are to be affixed to all applications presented to any Magistrate in his Executive capacity. Consequently, all such applications presented to the District Magistrate under M.V.Regulation should bear 12 anna stamps. The mere delegation of the powers vested in the District Magistrate to the District Superintendents of Police and Assistant Superintendents of Police as explained above, does not seem to preclude from insisting upon the parties to affix the usual stamps, in as much as, the advantage that is derived by getting such licenses either from the District Magistrates or authorised Police Officers in their Executive --- authority would be the same in each case. It appears therefore to be proper to insist upon the parties to present petitions with the prescribed stamps affixed to them.

If however, the applications for driving licenses are considered as those not otherwise provided for by the Regulation, only 8 annas may be insisted upon, instead of 12 annas stamps. The -- affixing of stamps under the Regulation may be extended also for applications for renewals of driving licenses; for duplicate licenses and for grant of conductors' passes. etc.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.


District Superintendent of Police,
Chitaldrug.

of Police, Davangere to the District Superintendent of Police, Chitaldrug.

-

With reference to chief office No.M.V.295 dated 26-1-32 enquiring about the practice existing in this Division regarding the affixing of stamps to applications for motor driving licenses etc., I have the honor to state that prior to my taking charge of this Division, i.e. prior to 7-10-31 applications for fresh licenses as well as for renewals were being received without any stamps affixed to them. As I know that 12 anna stamps were being affixed to all such applications in Bangalore District. I insisted on 12 anna stamps being affixed on all such applications and I solicited your kind instructions in the matter through my references (No. 280 dated 17-10-31)

The Licensing authority is primarily the District Magistrate according to Rule 16 (1) of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Rules of 1922 while the Superintendents and Assistant Superintendents are specially empowered by Government to grant drivers' licenses according to the same rule.

12 anna stamps should be affixed to the applications presented to any Magistrate in his executive capacity as per provisions of item No.1 schedule II of Regulation VIII of 1922 and consequently the application presented before the District Magistrate for the grant of a drivers license should have a 12 annas ^{stamp} affixed to it. Since the power of the District Magistrate is only delegate to the District Superintendent of Police and Assistant Superintendents of Police, it appears ~~but~~ proper that the same stamp fees should be recovered from the party since the advantage to be derived by the ^{party} i.e. the authority to drive a motor vehicle is the same in all cases. Further, as there is no difference between a fresh license and a renewed license, both licenses being current only for a year, it appears fair that there ought not to be any difference in the stamp fee whether the application be for a fresh license or for a renewal.

A copy of letter from the Chief Secretary regarding the same subject matter is here in enclosed for kind reference.

Under these circumstances I am of opinion that it is necessary to insist on the affixing of 12 anna stamps to all applications for driving motor vehicles.

Similar rule appear to be reasonable even in the case of applications for the grant of conductor's passes.

" C O P Y "

md
28/2 District Superintendent of Police,
Chitaldrug.

Letter No.P.3515/Lexis 13-24-2; D/-12-1-25 from the Secretary¹⁸
to Government ~~and~~ Local and Legislative Department to the District
Magistrate, Shimoga.

With reference to your letter No.J.C.248/dated 3-11-24 solicit
ing instructions as to whether applications for renewal of driving
license should bear a court fee stamp, I am directed to state that
they have to bear stamps in the same way as first applications
as the provisions of cl.(i) of section 4 of the Motor Vehicles
Regulation are to be applied for renewal of licenses also.

Sd/- N.Seetharamia.
For Secretary to Government
Law Department

"COPY"

H. V. Krishna Rao
Assistant Superintendent of Police.

Bu
16/3 Davangere.

In all future communications
please quote

No. *L-52-4528/31-32.*

Enc. *One*

Tel. Address. — "DEPCOM"
Post Box No. 34.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated. Bangalore, the 20.th. May.....1932.

Legs 52-31-10

FROM

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.



B. T. Kesaviengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore.
Development Dept. Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:—

*not for
with complete
2nd
25/5*

With reference to your letter No.P.5189-96/Legis. 52-31-2 dated 29-2/1-3-1932, regarding the levy of court fee on applications for Motor Driving licenses, I have the honour to state that it is the practice to insist on the levy of a court fee on the application made to Police Officers authorised to grant driving licenses. I am also enclosing for kind reference a copy of letter No.3515/Legis.13-24-2 dated 12-1-1925 from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments to the District Magistrate, Shimoga authorising levy of such court fee.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Anantaraman
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

SS/20-5-32.

90

Letter No.P.3515/Legis.13-24-2 dated 12-1-1925 from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Department to the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

With reference to your letter No.G.C.248 dated 3rd November 1924 soliciting instructions as to whether applications for renewal of driving licenses should bear a court fee stamp, I am directed to state that they have to bear stamps in the same way as first applications as the provisions of Clause (i) of section 16 of the Motor Vehicles Rules are to be applied for renewal of licenses also.


Sd/-N. Seetharamiah,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Copy

Sd/-M. Mellor,
District Superintendent of Police,
Bangalore District.

Copy


For District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

SS/20-5-32.

~~200~~

SUBJECT

Section *Legis*File No. *52-31*Serial No. *11*

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. *35*

To

The Sec. Inquirer

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

193 .

Date of issue

2/7/32

Signed by

*Mr. C. S. Dyer**to drive motor vehicles**licenses to drive**motor vehicles*

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sir,

With refer to your letter
 No M.B. 92/31-32 of 23.1.32,
 enquiring what the actual
 Court fee chargeable on
 applications for ^{motor driving} ~~driving~~ licenses
 presented to the D.S.P. is, I
 am directed to state that
 applications to Police Officers for
 licenses to drive ^{motor vehicles} ~~motor driving~~ licenses need
 not be stamped

*I have etc**for Chief Secy to Govt**Refs: - No P. 36-43 of 2-7-32**Copy forwarded*

— with Compliments to the J. C.
of Police in Regent & our other
as per information

By Order

Chas. See

23

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Q. P-35

Repts 52-31-11

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore, 29th June 1932.

-27-

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

TO

H.V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate, Tumkur District.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. M.B. 92 of 1931-32 dated 23rd January 1932, enquiring what the actual court fee chargeable on applications for motor driving licenses presented to the District Superintendent of Police is, I am directed to state that applications to Police Officers for licenses to drive motor vehicles need not be stamped.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient servant,



Chief Secretary to Government